Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/814,008	GERHOLD, BRU	JCE W.
		Examin r	Art Unit	
		Ehud Gartenberg	3746	
All Participants:		Status of Application:		
(1) Ehud Gartenberg.		(3)		
(2) <u>Kamron Kelly</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 21 January 2005		Time: <u>11AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: .	□ Applica] No	nt's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: NA				·
Claims discussed: 1-26			•	
Prior art documents discussed:				·
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	cation. The separate re	e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	he substance
			•	
•				
		11		
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appr	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreement was reached on the Examiner's Amendment that put the application in condition of allowance by defining over the prior art of record and more clearly defining the claimed invention. Specific prior art was not discussed, because the case as a whole was well known to both the Attorney and the Examiner, from the parent case.